ISSN 1600-5368

G. Senthil Kumar,<sup>a</sup> K. Chinnakali,<sup>a</sup>\* R. Balamurugan,<sup>b</sup> A. K. Mohanakrishnan<sup>b</sup> and Hoong-Kun Fun<sup>c</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, Anna University, Chennai 600 025, India, <sup>b</sup>Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, and <sup>c</sup>X-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: kali@annauniv.edu, hkfun@usm.my

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.054 wR factor = 0.155 Data-to-parameter ratio = 25.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2007 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

# 2,2'-(Oxydimethylene)bis[3-bromo-1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1*H*-indole]

In the title compound,  $C_{30}H_{22}Br_2N_2O_5S_2$ , the sulfonyl-bound phenyl ring makes a dihedral angle of 84.28 (11)° with the indole ring system. A crystallographic twofold rotation axis passes through the central O atom. The molecules are linked into a chain along the *b* axis by  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions. Received 25 December 2006 Accepted 9 January 2007

# Comment

Polyhalogenated indole derivatives exhibit marked antimicrobial activities against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and fungi (Piscopo, Diurno, Mazzoni, Ciaccio & Veneruso, 1990; Piscopo, Diurno, Mazzoni & Ciaccio, 1990). The title compound, (I), exhibits a more potent activity against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi* pathogens; its activity is comparable with those observed for the antibiotics penicillin, bacitracin, streptomycin, vancomycin and rifampicin (Senthil Kumar, Chinnakali, Balagurunathan *et al.*, 2006). As part of our investigations of indole derivatives, we have undertaken the X-ray crystal structure analysis of the title compound, (I).



The molecule of (I) is located on a twofold rotation axis (Fig. 1), which passes through atom O3. The geometry of the phenylsulfonylindole system agrees with those reported for similar structures (Beddoes *et al.*, 1986; Ravishankar *et al.*, 2005; Senthil Kumar, Chinnakali, Ramesh *et al.*, 2006). The indole ring system is planar, with a maximum deviation of 0.022 (2) Å for atom C2. The dihedral angle between the sulfonyl-bound phenyl ring and the indole ring system is 84.28 (11)°. The two symmetry-related indole ring systems in the molecule form a dihedral angle of 57.30 (4)°. The plane of the C–O–C linkage is twisted by an angle of 83.61 (10)° with respect to the indole ring system.

Intramolecular C-H···O interactions (Table 1) involving the sulfonyl atoms O1 and O2 generate rings of graph-set motifs S(5) and S(6) (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995). In the crystal structure, molecules are linked into a chain along the *b* axis (Fig. 2) by  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions between the benzene (centroid *Cg*1) and pyrrole (centroid *Cg*2) rings of the indole unit, with a



## Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 80% probability level. Unlabelled atoms are related to labelled atoms by the symmetry operation  $(x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$ . Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.



#### Figure 2

A packing diagram for (I), viewed down the c axis, showing the  $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions (dotted lines). H atoms have been omitted.

 $Cg1\cdots Cg2^{ii}$  distance of 3.6047 (19) Å [symmetry code: (ii)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, 1 - y, z].$ 

# **Experimental**

1-Phenylsulfonyl-3-bromo-2-hydroxymethylindole (1.37 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.42 mmol) and 1-phenylsulfonyl-3-bromo-2-bromomethylindole (1.37 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (20 ml) were refluxed for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched with water containing HCl (1 ml) and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water  $(2 \times 10 \text{ ml})$  and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The crude product was purified by column chromatography with hexane-ethyl acetate (9:1 v/v) to give (I) as a white crystalline product. The compound was further recrystallized from a hexane–ethyl acetate (99:1 v/v) solution.

#### Crystal data

C H Pr NOS	
$C_{30}\Pi_{22}\Pi_{21}\Pi_{2}O_{5}S_{2}$	
$M_r = /14.44$	
Orthorhombic, <i>Pnna</i>	
a = 16.0538 (2) Å	
b = 19.2295 (2) Å	
c = 9.0482 (1)  Å	
V = 2793.24 (5) Å <sup>3</sup>	

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer  $\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2005)  $T_{\min} = 0.429, \ T_{\max} = 0.866$ 

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0728P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.054$	+7.3085P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.155$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
4751 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 3.13 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
186 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.92 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Z = 4

 $D_x = 1.699 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 3.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100.0 (1) K Plate, colourless  $0.33 \times 0.21 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ 

54115 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.072$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 31.7^{\circ}$ 

4751 independent reflections

3348 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

2.867 (4)

109

#### Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

0.99

C15-H15A···O1

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$	
С7—Н7…О2	0.95	2.33	2.926 (4)	120	
C10-H10···O1	0.95	2.55	2.923 (5)	103	

2.39

H atoms were positioned geometrically, with C-H = 0.95-0.99 Å, and treated as riding, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The highest unassigned peak in the difference map is located 0.97 and 1.89 Å from atoms H6 and C6, respectively. Attempts to assign this peak as a partial-occupancy Br atom attached to C6 resulted in an occupancy of 0.04. Since this may not make chemical sense, the original model was retained.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: APEX2; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2005); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1998); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL and PLATON (Spek, 2003).

HKF thanks the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for Scientific Advancement Grant Allocation (SAGA) grant No. 304/PFIZIK/653003/A118 and USM shortterm grant No.304/PFIZIK/635028.

#### References

- Beddoes, R. L., Dalton, L., Joule, J. A., Mills, O. S., Street, J. D. & Watt, C. I. F. (1986). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 787-797.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555-1573.
- Bruker (2005). APEX2 (Version 1.27), SAINT (Version 7.12a) and SADABS (Version 2004/1). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Piscopo, E., Diurno, M. V., Mazzoni, O. & Ciaccio, A. M. (1990). Boll. Soc. Ital. Biol. Sper. 66, 1181–1186.

- Piscopo, E., Diurno, M. V., Mazzoni, O., Ciaccio, A. M. & Veneruso, G. (1990). Boll. Soc. Ital. Biol. Sper. 66, 1187–1191.
- Ravishankar, T., Chinnakali, K., Arumugam, N., Srinivasan, P. C., Usman, A. & Fun, H.-K. (2005). *Acta Cryst.* E61, o2455–o2457.
- Senthil Kumar, G., Chinnakali, K., Balagurunathan, R., Radhakrishnan, M., Balamurugan, R. & Mohanakrishnan, A. K. (2006). Unpublished work.
- Senthil Kumar, G., Chinnakali, K., Ramesh, N., Mohanakrishnan, A. K. & Fun, H.-K. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 05905–05907.Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison,
- Sneldrick, G. M. (1998). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.